03-20-08;10:51AM;

;6465125603

1/ 7

JUDGE BUCHWALD

LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT L. KRASELNIK, PLLC Robert L. Kraselnik (RK 0684) 40 Wall Street, 28th Floor New York, NY 10005 Tel.: 212-400-7160

Fax: 212-400-7160

Fax: 212-400-7162

Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ANGEL RAMOS, on behalf of himself and others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

CV 02781



Case No.:

COMPLAINT

Jury Trial Demanded

HUNTS POINT SERVICE STATION INC.

Defendant.

Plaintiff, ANGEL RAMOS (hereinafter, "RAMOS" or "Plaintiff"), on behalf of himself and other similarly situated employees, by and through his undersigned attorney, hereby files this Complaint against Defendant, HUNTS POINT SERVICE STATION INC. (hereinafter, "HUNTS POINT SERVICE STATION" or "Defendant"), and states as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. Plaintiff, RAMOS, alleges, pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended, 29 U.S.C. §§201 et. seq. ("FLSA"), that he is entitled to recover from Defendant: (1) unpaid overtime, (2) liquidated damages, and (3) attorneys' fees.

2/ 7

03-20-08;10:51AM;

;6465125603

2. Plaintiff, RAMOS, further alleges that, pursuant to the New York Labor Law, he is entitled to recover from Defendant: (1) unpaid overtime, (2) liquidated damages equal to twenty-five percent of his unpaid overtime, and (3) attorneys' fees and costs.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. This Court has jurisdiction over this controversy pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b), 28 U.S.C. §§1331, 1337 and 1343, and has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.
 - 4. Venue is proper in the Southern District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391.

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff, RAMOS, is a resident of Bronx County, New York.
- 6. Defendant, HUNTS POINT SERVICE STATION, is a corporation organized under the laws of New York, with a principal executive office at 565 Hunts Point Avenue, Bronx, New York 10474.
- Plaintiff was employed by Defendant in Bronx County, New York, from about 1991 to on or about January 29, 2008.
- 8. At all relevant times, HUNTS POINT SERVICE STATION was and continues to be an "enterprise engaged in commerce" within the meaning of the FLSA.
- At all relevant times, the work performed by Plaintiff, RAMOS, was directly essential to the business operated by Defendant, HUNTS POINT SERVICE STATION.

3/ 7

03-20-08;10:51AM;

;6465125603

- 10. At all relevant times, HUNTS POINT SERVICE STATION knowingly and willfully failed to pay RAMOS his lawfully earned overtime wages in direct contravention of the FLSA and the New York Labor Law.
- 11. Plaintiff, RAMOS has fulfilled all conditions precedent to the institution of this action and/or such conditions have been waived.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 12. In or about 1991, Plaintiff, RAMOS, was hired by Defendant, HUNTS POINT SERVICE STATION, to work at Defendant's vehicle service station located at 565 Hunts Point Avenue, Bronx, New York 10474.
- 13. Plaintiff primarily worked in Defendant's auto glass department installing windshields, windows, mirrors, headlights and taillights until or about January 29, 2008. He also sometimes worked as a mechanic.
- 14. During RAMOS's employment by Defendant, he generally has worked well over forty (40) hours per week, averaging around approximately (58) hours a week.
- 15. During Plaintiff's employment by HUNTS POINT SERVICE STATION, he was paid a maximum of \$10 per hour, with no overtime.
- 16. HUNTS POINT SERVICE STATION knowingly and willfully operated its business with a policy of not paying either the FLSA overtime rate (of time and one-half) or the New York State overtime rate (of time and one-half) to the Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees.

4/ 7

03-20-08;10:51AM;

;6465125603

17. Plaintiff retained the Law Offices of Robert L. Kraselnik, PLLC to represent him and other employees similarly situated in this litigation and has agreed to pay the firm a reasonable fee for its services.

STATEMENT OF CLAIM

COUNT I

VIOLATION OF THE FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT

- 18. Plaintiff realleges and reavers Paragraphs 1 through 17 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 19. At all relevant times, upon information and belief, Defendant was and continues to be an employer engaged in interstate commerce and/or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 206(a) and 207 (a). Further, Plaintiff is a covered individual within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 206(a) and 207 (a).
 - 20. At all relevant times, Defendant employed Plaintiff within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 21. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, HUNTS POINT SERVICE STATION has had gross revenues in excess of \$500,000.
- 22. Plaintiff, RAMOS, was entitled to be paid at the rate of time and one-half for his hours worked in excess of the maximum hours provided for in the FLSA.
- 23. At all relevant times, the Defendant had a policy and practice of refusing to pay overtime compensation at the statutory rate of time and one-half to Plaintiff for his hours worked in excess of forty hours per workweek.
- 24. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff overtime compensation in the lawful amount for hours worked in excess of the maximum hours provided for in the FLSA.

5/ 7

03-20-08;10:51AM;

;6465125603

- 25. Records, if any, concerning the number of hours worked by Plaintiff and the actual compensation paid to Plaintiff are in the possession and custody of the Defendant. Plaintiff intends to obtain these records by appropriate discovery proceedings to be taken promptly in this case and, if necessary, will then seek leave of Court to amend this Complaint to set forth the precise amount due.
- 26. Defendant knew of and/or showed a willful disregard for the provisions of the FLSA as evidenced by its failure to compensate Plaintiff, RAMOS, at the statutory rate of time and one-half for his hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week when it knew or should have known such was due.
- 27. Defendant failed to properly disclose or apprise Plaintiff, RAMOS, of his rights under the FLSA.
- 28. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's willful disregard of the FLSA, Plaintiff, RAMOS, is entitled to liquidated damages pursuant to the FLSA.
- 29. Due to the intentional, willful and unlawful acts of Defendant, Plaintiff, RAMOS, suffered damages in an amount not presently ascertainable of unpaid overtime wages, plus an equal amount as liquidated damages.
- 30. Plaintiff is entitled to an award of his reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b).

COUNT II

VIOLATION OF THE NEW YORK LABOR LAW

31. Plaintiff realleges and reavers Paragraphs 1 through 30 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

6/ 7

03-20-08;10:51AM;

;6465125603

- 32. At all relevant times, Plaintiff was employed by the Defendant within the meaning of the New York Labor Law, §§2 and 651.
- 33. Defendant willfully violated Plaintiff's rights by failing to pay Plaintiff overtime compensation at rates not less than one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty hours in a workweek.
- 34. Due to the Defendant's New York Labor Law violations, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendant his unpaid overtime, damages for unreasonably delayed payments, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs and disbursements of the action, pursuant to New York Labor Law §663(1).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, RAMOS, on behalf of himself and all similarly situated employees, respectfully requests that this Court grant the following relief:

- a. A declaratory judgment that the practices complained of herein are unlawful under the FLSA and the New York Labor Law;
- b. An injunction against HUNTS POINT SERVICE STATION and its officers, agents, successors, employees, representatives and any and all persons acting in concert with them as provided by law, from engaging in each of the unlawful practices, policies and patterns set forth herein;
- c. An award of unpaid overtime compensation due under the FLSA and the New York Labor Law;

Page 7 of 7

- d. An award of liquidated and/or punitive damages as a result of HUNTS POINT SERVICE STATION'S willful failure to pay overtime compensation pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216;
- e. An award of liquidated and/or punitive damages as a result of HUNTS POINT SERVICE STATION'S willful failure to pay overtime compensation pursuant to the New York Labor Law;
- f. An award of prejudgment and postjudgment interest;
- g. An award of costs and expenses of this action together with reasonable attorneys'
 and expert fees; and
- h. Such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff demands trial by jury on all issues so triable as of right by jury.

Dated: March 3, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT L. KRASELNIK, PLLC Attorneys for Plaintiff 40 Wall Street, 28th Floor New York, NY 10005 Tel.: (212) 400-7160

Fax: (212) 400-7162

ROBERT L. KRASELNIK (RK 0684)